I have two very long, parallel wires each carrying a current I_1 and I_2, respectively. In which direction is the force on the wire with the current I_2?

A. Up
B. Down
C. Right
D. Left
E. Into or out of the page



ANNOUNCEMENTS

- Exam 2 Graded
  - Average: 88.8%

HISTOGRAM



POTTER HOUSES



What is $\oint \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{l}$ around this purple (dashed) Amperian loop?



A. $\mu_0(|I_2| + |I_1|)$
B. $\mu_0(|I_2| - |I_1|)$
C. $\mu_0(|I_2| + |I_1| \sin\theta)$
D. $\mu_0(|I_2| - |I_1| \sin\theta)$
E. $\mu_0(|I_2| + |I_1| \cos\theta)$

Stoke's Theorem says that for a surface S bounded by a perimeter L, any vector field $\mathbf{B}$ obeys:

$$\int_S (\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot dA = \oint_L \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{l}$$



Does Stoke's Theorem apply for any surface S bounded by a perimeter L, even this balloon-shaped surface S?

A. Yes

B. No

C. Sometimes

Rank order $\int \mathbf{J} \cdot d\mathbf{A}$ (over blue surfaces) where $\mathbf{J}$ is uniform, going left to right:



A. iii > iv > ii > i

B. iii > i > ii > iv

C. i > ii > iii > iv

D. Something else!!

E. Not enough info given!!

Much like Gauss's Law, Ampere's Law is always true (for magnetostatics), but only useful when there's sufficient symmetry to "pull B out" of the integral.



So we need to build an argument for what $\mathbf{B}$ looks like and what it can depend on.

For the case of an infinitely long wire, can $\mathbf{B}$ point radially (i.e., in the $\hat{s}$ direction)?

A. Yes

B. No

C. ???

Continuing to build an argument for what $\mathbf{B}$ looks like and what it can depend on.

For the case of an infinitely long wire, can $\mathbf{B}$ depend on z or ϕ?

A. Yes

B. No

C. ???



Finalizing the argument for what $\mathbf{B}$ looks like and what it can depend on.

For the case of an infinitely long wire, can $\mathbf{B}$ have a $\hat{z}$ component?

A. Yes

B. No

C. ???



For the infinite wire, we argued that $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) = B(s)\hat{\phi}$. For the case of an infinitely long **thick** wire of radius a, is this functional form still correct? Inside and outside the wire?

A. Yes
B. Only inside the wire ($s < a$)
C. Only outside the wire ($s > a$)
D. No